	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
	AT
)
Plain	tiff.
) }
vs.	}
D-6-	
реге	ndant.
	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW (DIVORCE WITH CHILDREN AND PROPERTY)
	Trial in this case was held on
	Settlement was placed on the record on
	Default hearing in this case was held on
Plain	tiff: did not appear appeared in person appeared remotely Plaintiff was represented by self attorney
Defe	ndant: did not appear appeared in person appeared remotely Defendant was represented by self attorney
The	record shows the Defendant was served with summons and complaint for divorce, and Default: Defendant did not file an answer or otherwise defend, and the matter proceeded by default. Uncontested: Defendant in joined in signing the complaint if filed an answer and did not contest the facts alleged or prayer for relief in the complaint. Settlement: Defendant filed an answer and the parties settled all issues. Contested: Defendant filed an answer and the parties proceeded to trial.
	court considered testimony and examined any evidence or agreements presented. The now makes the findings of fact and conclusions of law:
	FINDINGS OF FACT
BAC 1.	KGROUND ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant is a resident of the State of Alaska.
2.	The parties married in on on and ever since have been married to each other.
3.	The parties permanently separated on
4.	An incompatibility of temperament exists between the parties which makes it impossible for them to remain together as a married couple.
5.	☐ Plaintiff asks to restore this prior name:
_	4. 60

6.	 No evidence of domestic violence was offered and the court makes no findings regarding domestic violence. Neither parent has a history of committing domestic violence under AS 25.24.150(g). The ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant has a history of committing domestic violence under AS 25.24.150(g), and the statutory presumption against custody:
7.	Other:
	·
CHI 1.	The following child(ren) was(were) born to, or adopted by, the parties before and/or during the marriage: Child's Full Name Date of Birth
	☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant is currently pregnant.
2.	 The court has jurisdiction over the child(ren). The child(ren) resided in Alaska for at least six consecutive months immediately before the complaint was filed in this case. When the complaint was filed, there was no other state with greater rights to jurisdiction under the <i>Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act</i>. Other
3.	The court considered the statutory factors set forth in AS 25.24.150(c), and AS 25.20.090 if appropriate, and finds that the best interests of the child(ren) will be served by: Agreement of the parties set forth separately and incorporated here by reference. Agreement of the parties described below. The court's determination set forth separately and incorporated here by reference. The court's determination described below. If announced on the record, the following can be found at Media # Beg. Log # End Log # Date
_	2 of 8
DR-8	00 (8/21)(cs)

4.	Deci	sion Making (legal custody): <u>Joint:</u> The parents can communicate and make major decisions regarding the child(ren)'s education, healthcare, religious training, and other major decisions. <u>Sole:</u> It is difficult for the parents to make joint decisions regarding the children, so Plaintiff Defendant will make major decisions regarding the child(ren)'s education healthcare, religious training, and other major decisions. The decision-making parent must inform the other parent about major decisions affecting the child(ren).
	Both	parents should be listed on all educational and medical records.
	the decis	to Day Decisions: Each parent may make decisions regarding the day-to-day care of child(ren) while they are with that parent. Either parent may make emergency sions affecting the children's health and safety and must notify the other parent oon as possible.
5.	Pare	Inting Schedule (physical custody): The schedule is set forth separately and incorporated here by reference. The regular schedule is set forth below:
		The vacation schedule differs from the regular schedule and is set forth below:
		The holiday schedule differs from the regular schedule and is set forth below:

6.	Neither parent should speak badly about the other parent in front of the child(ren). Neither parent should allow anyone else to speak badly about the other parent of members of their family in front of the child(ren). The parents should not discuss the court case or custody matters with the child(ren), or show them court papers at any time.
7.	Best interest and other findings about the child(ren). [Use this space to make specific findings on the AS 25.24.150(c) best interest factors or incorporate findings made elsewhere in the record.]
8.	Other

6.

CHILD SUPPORT

1.	Child	d and medical support should Be ordered in accordance with Alaska Civil Rule 90.3. The parenting time scheduled
		listed above is:
		Primary to plaintiff Primary to defendant
		☐ Shared by parties
		% to plaintiff% to defendant
		☐ Divided custody
		Plaintiff has primary custody of
		Defendant has primary custody of
		Hybrid custody
		Plaintiff has primary custody of Defendant has primary custody of
		Parties to share physical custody of
		Vary from the child support award calculated under Civil Rule 90.3 because:
		TC
		If not varied, amount of support that would be required \$ Estimated value of property awarded instead of support \$
		Listillated value of property awarded instead of support
2.	Alasl	ka Permanent Fund Dividend (PFD).
		☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant should timely apply for the child(ren)'s PFD each year. The
	child	l(ren)'s PFD funds:
	Щ	May be spent for the child(ren)'s health education, and welfare.
		Should be saved in an interest-bearing account in both parent's names and both
		parents should have access to all statements from the account. Other
		Otilei
3.	Alasl	ka Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) Dividends.
	<u>Th</u> e	☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant should timely apply for the child(ren)'s ANCSA dividends.
	Ц	May be spent for the child(ren)'s health education, and welfare.
	Ш	Should be saved in an interest-bearing account in both parent's names and both
		parents should have access to all statements from the account.
		Other
4.	Chilo	d Tax Credit.
		The Plaintiff Defendant will claim the child(ren) every year.
		Every year, Plaintiff will claim the following child(ren)
		and Defendant will claim the following child(ren)
		The parents will claim the child(ren) in alternating years with:
		Plaintiff Defendant claiming the child(ren) for odd-numbered tax years such
		as 2019 and 2021, and the other parent claiming them for even-numbered tax years such as 2020 and 2022.
		Other:
	The	parties will provide each other with a signed IRS Form 8332, if needed, by February 1
		nat it may be timely filed with the IRS. This may be modified without a court order if
		parties agree in writing. As required by AS 25.24.232. The parent who has physical
	custo	ody of the child(ren) for a period less than the other parent may not claim the tax

support payments in an amount more than four times the monthly child support obligation. 5. A child and medical support order should be entered and incorporated here by reference. 6. Other: PROPERTY ALLOCATION Assets and debts to be awarded to plaintiff Plaintiff should retain all assets and debts now in plaintiff's possession and control. Plaintiff should be awarded the following property and allocated the following debt: Assets Awarded to Plaintiff Value (\$) Debts Allocated to Plaintiff Value (\$) Total value of assets to plaintiff

benefits in any tax year if on December 31 of that year the parent was behind in child

<u>Less</u> value of debts to plaintiff Equals net value to plaintiff

	Defendant should be awarded the following property and alloca	ica the foli	
	Assets Awarded to Defendant	Value (<i>\$)</i>
	Debts Allocated to Defendant	Value (<i>\$)</i>
•	Total value of assets to defendant		
	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant		
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	c
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d
debt a	Less value of debts to defendant Equals net value to defendant considering the factors in AS 25.24.160, the court finds that the allocation is fair and equitable requires the following cash nd equitable: Plaintiff to pay to defendant the amount of \$	e above pro	order
fair ar		n offset in c	d

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A.	The court has personal jurisdiction over the parties and the child(ren), and subject matter jurisdiction over the marriage, custody, and child support disputes.					
B.	A decree of divorce should be issued forever severing the bonds of matrimony now existing between the parties.					
C.	☐ It is in the child(ren)'s best interest to award custody and visitation as set forth above.					
D.	Child and medical support should be ordered as set forth above. A child support order should be entered without delay.					
E.	E. Property and debt(s) should be allocated as set forth above, which is fair and equitable.					
F.		The plaintiff's prior name should be restored. The defendant's prior name should be restored.				
G.	G. Other conclusion(s) of law:					
ENT	ERED	this day	of .	. 20		
Re	comm	nended for approval:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
Su	perior	Court Master Date				
	Print or Type Name					
		nat on this document was sent or given to:				
Clerl	K:					

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA AT $___$

)))
Plai	ntiff,		_ <u></u>	,)
vs. Defendant.))) Case No
)	DIVORCE DECREE AND JUDGMENT
	IS HE	REBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AN		
1.		between the parties.	er s	severing the bonds of matrimony now existing
2.		•	g so	schedule for the minor child(ren) is ordered as
_		set forth in the <i>Findings of Fact and</i>		
3.	Ш			d as set forth in the <i>Child Support Order</i> and in an angle of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
4.				set forth in the <i>Findings of Fact and Conclusions</i>
_		of Law.	1 11	H. 45.54a all accepts and dalpha a Palad Scatter
5.				all divide all property and debt as listed in the of Law. This includes exchanging all property
				erwork needed to transfer property or debt, and
_		anything else needed to divide the		
6.				ered to pay the Plaintiff Defendant
		date, it becomes a judgment with a	ny (c an ii	<i>(date)</i> If not paid by that interest rate of% each year.
7.		The plaintiff's prior name is restore	d to	to
•			orec	ed to
8.		Other:		
ENT	ERED	this	lay	, 20
			•	,
Re	ecomn	nended for approval:		
		• •		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
<u>~</u>	ınerioi	Court Master Date		
٥١	γh c ιι0ι	Date Date		Drint or Time Name
Ice	rtifv th	nat on		Print or Type Name
	•	this document was sent or given to:		
		-		
Cler	κ'			