	~	~
·		
ľ		
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA	
2	THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE	
3	EDWARD ALEXANDER, JOSH	
4	ANDREWS, SHELBY BECK ANDREWS, & CAREY CARPENTER,	FILED in the TRIAL COURTS State of Alaska Third District
5	Plaintiffs,	JAN 24 2023
6	vs.	′ Clerk of the Trial Courts [™] By Deputy
7		ByDeputy
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER HEIDI TESHNER, in her official capacity,	
9	STATE OF ALASKA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION & EARLY	
10	DEVELOPMENT,	
11 12	Defendant.	Case No. 3AN-23- <u>04309</u> CI
12		
14	COMPLAINT	
15	Plaintiffs Edward Alexander, Josh Andrews, Shelby Beck Andrews, and Carey	
16	Carpenter, hereby file this Complaint against Defendant Acting Commissioner Heidi	
17	Teshner, State of Alaska, Department of Education & Early Development, by stating and	
18	alleging the following:	
19	I. INTRODUCTION	
20	1. This suit challenges AS 14.03.300310, which is being used to reimburse	
21	parents for thousands of dollars in private educational institution services using public	
22	funds thereby indirectly funding private education in violation of Article VII, Section 1	
23 24	of the Alaska Constitution.	
24		
25 26	COMPLAINT Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23CI Page 1 of 22	

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

11

12

13

14

15

16

Alaska Statute 14.03.300(a) provides that under a "correspondence study 2. program" an "individual learning plan" is "developed in collaboration with the student, 2 the parent or guardian of the student, a certified teacher assigned to the student, and other 3 4 individuals involved in the student's learning plan." To meet "instructional expenses," 5 AS 14.03.310(a) allows a district to "provide an annual student allotment to a parent or 6 guardian of a student enrolled in the correspondence study program." "A parent or 7 guardian may purchase nonsectarian services and materials from a public, private, or 8 religious organization with a student allotment" if they are consistent with the "individual 9 10 learning plan." AS 14.03.310(b).

3. The relevant language in AS 14.03.300-.310 was initially proposed in Senate Bill 100 ("SB 100") in 2013. SB 100 was accompanied by Senate Joint Resolution No. 9 ("SJR 9") to amend Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution by deleting the final sentence providing, "No money shall be paid from public funds for the direct benefit of any religious or other private educational institution."

17 4. Senate Bill 100's sponsor, then-Senator Michael J. Dunleavy, 18 acknowledged that a constitutional amendment was necessary to allow for the use of 19 public funds for the direct benefit of private educational institutions as intended by SB 20 100. For example, he explained in Senate Education Committee meetings that amending 21 22 the constitutional language was required so that parents could enroll their children in 23 private school courses as part of the individual learning plan ("ILP"). In providing this 24 explanation, Dunleavy stated: "That cannot be done currently under constitutional 25 COMPLAINT 26

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_ CI language." Sen. Educ. Comm., 28th Leg., April 10, 2013 at 8:29:15 AM, https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2013-04-100801.PDF.

5. Senator Dunleavy's statement was clear that as a package, "SB 100, along
with SJR 9, allow[] a parent and teacher to develop an ILP that includes a public/private
partnership concept." *Id.*

6. Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution was never amended,
however the language allowing for a parent or guardian to receive an allotment, including
for the purchase of services from private educational institutions, was nonetheless enacted
in AS 14.03.300-.310 as part of larger legislation.

7. Last year, Jodi Taylor, wife of Attorney General Treg Taylor, authored an opinion piece titled "Private school, state reimbursement: Family choice," explaining how parents can take advantage of AS 14.03.310 by enrolling their children in the public correspondence program to receive thousands of dollars in state funds to reimburse payments for private school tuition.

8. If the parents of just 10% of Alaska's students followed Ms. Taylor's approach of enrolling in the correspondence school program and then requesting reimbursements for private school courses, it would remove about 13,000 students from traditional public schools. Under the Base Student Allotment ("BSA") of \$5,930, this could result in tens of millions of dollars in public funds being diverted from public schools for the direct benefit of private educational institutions.

CI

24 25

1

2

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601

- ----
- 26

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

9. In interpreting the direct benefit prohibition in Article VII, Section 1, the 1 Alaska Supreme Court has been clear that diverting public funds to subsidize private 2 3 education is unconstitutional, including when those funds are channeled through an 4 intermediary. Reimbursing parents for private school courses and tuition with public 5 funds is exactly the channeling of funds the Alaska Supreme Court has held is prohibited. 6 10. Because AS 14.03.300-.310 allows the public correspondence program to 7 reimburse parents thousands of dollars for private educational institution services with 8 public funds, in violation of Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution, Plaintiffs 9 10 are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent this unconstitutional diversion 11 of funds that are meant to benefit the public education system and public-school students 12 in Alaska. 13

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

11. Plaintiff Edward Alexander is an Enrolled Tribal Member of the Gwichyaa Zhee Gwich'in Tribal Government from Fort Yukon, Alaska. Edward is a father of five, and currently lives in Fairbanks, Alaska. Three of Edward's children attend Weller Elementary School in Fairbanks. Edward has seen public schools in his district close, which has resulted in larger class sizes at Weller Elementary. Edward takes an active role in his children's education, including homeschooling several of his children during the COVID-19 pandemic. Edward has testified with his children at School Board Meetings to advocate for maintaining public education programs in the arts in the face of budgetary cuts. He is passionate about ensuring that all students in Alaska have access to quality COMPLAINT

CI

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_____

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

9

10

19

20

21

public educational opportunities including in language and the arts. Edward has worked 1 to advance this goal over the course of his career in his capacity as a teacher, principal, 2 and language coordinator for Fort Yukon. From 2016 to 2020, Edward was the Education 3 4 Manager for the Tanana Chiefs Conference, overseeing education programs for 42 Tribes 5 of the Interior. He is currently co-chair of Gwich'in Council International, and a 6 homemaker. Mr. Alexander's wife is a physician and Medical Director of the Tanana 7 Chiefs Conference. 8

12. Plaintiff Josh Andrews is a teacher who was born and raised in Southeast Alaska, and he is proud to call Craig, Alaska home. Josh comes from a long line of teachers including his parents, grandparents, and even one of his great grandparents. Josh attended elementary school in a Regional Educational Attendance Area in a one-room schoolhouse at a logging camp, and subsequently attended Haines Middle and High Schools. Josh has more than 25-years of teaching experience, and has taught subjects from music to technology to math at the middle and high school levels. Josh also has 5 years of experience as a high school principal. Public education has always been a cornerstone of Josh's life, and he is honored to be a public school teacher in Craig. Josh Andrews is married to plaintiff Shelby Beck Andrews, and they are parents of two children who attend Craig public schools.

22 13. Plaintiff Shelby Beck Andrews moved to Alaska with her parents when she 23 was just two-weeks old, and is a graduate of Haines High School. From 2003-2009, 24 Shelby taught at Craig Middle School. In 2009, Shelby began teaching at Craig High 25 COMPLAINT 26 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-Page 5 of 22 ÇI

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 13

School. She has taught a variety of subjects to meet the needs of the school and her 1 students, including social studies, history, economics, language arts, and Spanish. Shelby 2 believes it is imperative that there is adequate funding for public schools to provide the 3 4 best public education for all students throughout Alaska. Shelby reads the plain text of 5 the Alaska Constitution as prohibiting public funds from being diverted from public 6 schools, where they are needed to provide teachers and quality educational programs. Due 7 to budgetary restrictions. Shelby has witnessed public schools in her district struggle to 8 attract and retain a physical education teacher. For high school students, there are limited 9 10 scheduling options such that students, like her daughter, may have to choose between 11 registering for academic courses or music. As both a teacher and a parent, Shelby wants 12 to see public schools fully funded for the benefit of all Alaskans.

14. Plaintiff Carey Carpenter is a married mother-of-two who has called Alaska 14 home for 23 years. Her children are currently in 7th and 9th grade in the Anchorage 15 16 School District Japanese Immersion Program. Carey is a registered Civil Engineer, and 17 previously worked for the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium as a project manager 18 and design engineer for Alaska Native communities in rural Alaska, primarily working 19 on water and sewage systems. After undergoing treatment and surviving an aggressive 20 form of breast cancer, Carey quit her civil engineering job to start a local nonprofit to 21 22 support other young adults who are diagnosed with cancer. In her current volunteer role, 23 she serves as the Director of this nonprofit: Anchorage Young Cancer Coalition. Carey 24 began taking an active role in advocating on behalf of Anchorage students in 2016 after 25 COMPLAINT 26

CI

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_____

the principal of her children's school unilaterally decided to cut the lunch and recess time for all students without discussing this change with students or their parents. As part of 2 3 her advocacy for students, she worked with another Anchorage parent to start a grassroots 4 parent group called ASD60. ASD60 fought for evidence-based and CDC-backed 5 guidelines for adequate lunch and recess time for children across the Anchorage School 6 District. This year, Carey has been involved in advocating for the State Legislature to 7 increase school funding to avoid further cuts to public education programs. Anchorage 8 public education programs including IGNITE, sports, and language immersion, that her 9 10 children participate in, have faced the prospect of dramatic changes and cuts based on 11 budgetary issues. Carey strongly believes that funneling public funds away from public 12 schools to subsidize private education diminishes her children's educational opportunities 13 and is illegal under the Alaska Constitution. 14

Defendant Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner of the Office of the 15. 15 16 Commissioner, State of Alaska, Department of Education & Early Development 17 ("DEED") is being sued in her official capacity. DEED provides and oversees core public 18 education services, including public school funding; fiscal accountability, compliance, 19 and oversight; school effectiveness programs; and active partnerships. Specifically, 20 DEED is responsible for ensuring that education funding is appropriately distributed to 21 22 recipients based on legislative appropriation and by statute and in accordance with the 23 foundation formula, other formula programs, or legislative intent for funding outside the 24

CI

25

VII, Section 1, in performance of these duties. 2 3 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 4 16. 5 declaratory judgment and provide injunctive relief, under AS 22.10.020. 6 17. 7 and may be served within Anchorage, Alaska, and the claims arise from actions that have 8 and will take place, in part, within the Third Judicial District. 9 10 IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 11 18. School districts in Alaska can establish state-funded public correspondence 12 schools for families who choose to homeschool their children (the terms correspondence 13 school and homeschool are used interchangeably). Although there used to be a statewide 14 correspondence program, all current correspondence programs are district-provided. 15

AGO No. 2021200228 at 4 n.13 (July 25, 2022); see also AS 14.03.300(a) (providing 16 17 either a "district or the department that provides a correspondence study program" shall 18 provide an individual learning plan).

19. As of 2022, correspondence program students were funded at 90% of 20 \$5,930 (which totals \$4,851), or 90% of the base amount the state pays per student. 21 22 Alaska currently has approximately 34 correspondence school programs in the state. See 23 Lisa Phu, Can Public Funds be Used for Private School Classes? Education Department 24 Isn't Sure, ALASKA BEACON, June 3, 2022. 25

CI

COMPLAINT 26

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-

Page 8 of 22

Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601

19

1

This court has jurisdiction over this dispute, as well as the ability to enter a

primary formulas. DEED is obligated to follow the Alaska Constitution, including Article

Venue is proper in the Third Judicial District as Defendant maintains offices

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 20. Alaska Statute 14.03.300(a) provides that under the "correspondence study program" an "individual learning plan" is "developed in collaboration with the student, the parent or guardian of the student, a certified teacher assigned to the student, and other individuals involved in the student's learning plan."

⁵ 21. To meet "instructional expenses," AS 14.03.310(a) allows a district to
"provide an annual student allotment to a parent or guardian of a student enrolled in the
correspondence study program." "A parent or guardian may purchase nonsectarian
services and materials from a public, private, or religious organization with a student
allotment" if they are consistent with the "individual learning plan." AS 14.03.310(b).

22. Jodi Taylor's May 19, 2022 opinion piece, "Private school, state reimbursement: Family choice," was published in multiple newspapers, including the Anchorage Daily News. In this piece, Ms. Taylor explained how AS 14.03.310 allows parents of students enrolled in the public correspondence program to receive thousands of dollars in state funds to reimburse their children's private school education.

23. Ms. Taylor's opinion piece outlined the steps parents can use to enroll their children in the public correspondence (homeschooling) program, select the private school of their choice, and then receive reimbursements for that private school tuition from the annual correspondence student allotment. This approach is only possible because, under AS 14.03.310, correspondence study program funds may be used to purchase services from approved vendors, including private schools.

CI

25

26

COMPLAINT Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_____

2

3

4

5

6

7

24. Ms. Taylor and her husband Attorney General Treg Taylor's two youngest school-age children attend a private school, while they are enrolled in the Anchorage School District's Family Partnership Charter School. Ms. Taylor explained that although annual tuition at the private school is \$6,000, she will be reimbursed for up to \$4,000 per child, meaning she will "only personally have to pay the remaining balance of \$2,000 per child."

Other homeschooling programs in Alaska have been reimbursing parents 25. 8 for enrolling their children in private school classes for years. For example, Mat-Su 9 10 Central is a homeschool program within the Matanuska-Susitna Borough School District. 11 The Alaska Beacon reported that "Principal Stacey McIntosh said her school currently 12 reimburses families for secular classes at private schools," and "her homeschool program 13 has been reimbursing families for non-religious private school classes for three years, 14 since right before the COVID-19 pandemic hit." Lisa Phu, Can Public Funds be Used 15 16 for Private School Classes? Education Department Isn't Sure, ALASKA BEACON, June 3, 17 2022.

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

Mat-Su Central offers reimbursement for classes at 12 private schools,
 providing a list of classes at each private school that can be reimbursed. The allotment
 amount was \$2,200 for grades kindergarten to 12, but Principal McIntosh reported that
 "next year, we're increasing our allotment to \$3,000 for 9 through 12 and \$2,600 for K
 through 8." *Id.*

CI

25

18

26 COMPLAINT

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_____

Page 10 of 22

Casluion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 27. Matsu-Central has no limits on the amount of the allotment that can be used for private school classes: Principal McIntosh explained that so long as classes have passed the vetting process, a family could use their full allotment for private school classes. Parents simply need to submit receipts from the private school classes, which are then reimbursed. *Id.*

28. Family Partnership Charter School, of the Anchorage School District, offers
 an allotment of \$4,000 for elementary school, \$4,250 for middle school and \$4,500 for
 high school students. The school plans to offer its families the option to use that allotment
 to reimburse private school classes starting next school year. *Id.*

29. Jodi Taylor learned of AS 14.03.310 from Alaska Policy Forum Chief Executive Office Bethany Marcum. Ms. Marcum previously worked as a legislative staffer for Governor Mike Dunleavy when Dunleavy was a State Senator. *Id.*

30. Alaska Statute 14.03.300-.310's relevant statutory language was originally part of SB 100, which then-Senator Dunleavy sponsored. The bill went through several committee hearings, but the language eventually passed as part of House Bill 278.

31. Senator Dunleavy introduced SB 100 in 2013. In discussing "[p]ublic correspondence/homeschooling study programs" Dunleavy's SB 100 sponsor statement noted, "[m]ost programs provide a student allotment to purchase educational services or materials to meet the student's Individual Learning Plan (ILP). Under SB 100, a parent may purchase services and materials from a private or religious organization with a

CI

COMPLAINT
Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_____

2

student allotment to meet the student's ILP." Sen. Educ. Comm., 28th Leg., March 3, 2014 at 8:01:20 AM, https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2014-03-030800.PDF.

3 32. Statutes and regulations addressing the correspondence study program 4 impact thousands of students in Alaska. As Senator Dunleavy also explained in his SB 5 100 sponsor statement: "Public correspondence/homeschool study programs serve almost 6 10 percent of the total Alaska student population. This approach to education is one of 7 the fastest growing options in the state." Sen. Educ. Comm., 28th Leg., March 3, 2014 at 8:01:20 AM, https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2014-03-030800.PDF.

33. Dunleavy described the benefits of SB 100 as allowing "freedom and
flexibility," and to "focus on the outcomes, not the inputs." Sen. Educ. Comm., 28th
Leg., March 3, 2014 at 8:07:44 AM, https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2014-03030800.PDF.

34. As an exchange between Committee Chair Stevens and Senator Dunleavy confirmed, SB 100 would remove "the department's oversight of financial expenditures and the ILP," and "place[] the oversight with the district." *Id.* at 8:17:38 AM. At the time Senator Dunleavy introduced SB 100, there were correspondence study programs offered by 33 different Alaska school districts. *Id.* at 8:01:20 AM (sponsor statement of Sen. Dunleavy).

35. Multiple Senators, including sponsoring Senator Dunleavy, noted that SB
 100 presented constitutional issues because it allowed for the purchase of educational
 services from private institutions with public funds. This use of public funds would
 COMPLAINT
 COMPLAINT
 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23- CI

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 15

16

17

18

19

20

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

violate Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution: "No money shall be paid from public funds for the direct benefit of any religious or other private educational institution."

36. For example, Senator Berta Gardner shared that "she has a legal opinion that [SB 100] is not constitutional," and had requested her staff "transmit that opinion to the members of the committee and their staff." Educ. Comm., 28th Leg., March 21, 2014 at 8:24:31 AM, https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2014-03-210759.PDF.

37. Because he was aware of these constitutional issues, Senator Dunleavy originally presented SB 100 with Senate Joint Resolution No. 9 ("SJR 9"). SJR 9 was introduced on February 13, 2013, and "proposed amendments to the Constitution of the State of Alaska relating to state aid for education." Sen. J. Res. 9, 28th Leg., https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/Bills/SJR009A.PDF.

38. SJR 9 proposed to delete the final sentence of Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution: "No money shall be paid from public funds for the direct benefit of any religious or other private educational institution." Id. It simultaneously proposed to amend Article IX, Section 6, reading "No tax shall be levied, or appropriation of public money made, or public property transferred, nor shall the public credit be used, except for a public purpose," to add a clause "; however, nothing in this section shall prevent payment from public funds for the direct educational benefit of students as provided by law." Id.

23 39. Dunleavy described SB 100 as "a companion bill for SJR 9." Sen. 24 Education 8:29:15 Comm., 28th 10, 2013 AM, Leg., April at 25 COMPLAINT Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_ ÇI Page 13 of 22

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

https://www.akleg.gov/PDF/28/M/SEDC2013-04-100801.PDF. With the constitutional amendment of SJR 9 and SB 100, "a parent could decide his child would take a Latin
 course at Monroe Catholic and the teacher could agree to that in the ILP." *Id.* Dunleavy
 asserted, "*That cannot be done currently* under constitutional language." *Id.* (emphasis added).

40. Dunleavy presented the benefit of passing both SJR 9's constitutional
amendment and SB 100, as "allow[ing] a parent and a teacher to develop an ILP that
includes a public/private partnership concept with a public outcome." *Id.*

41. SJR 9 died in committee, and Article VII, Section 1 was never amended.
However, relevant language from SB 100 was added to House Bill 278, which passed in
2014. See 2014 Alaska Sess. Laws Ch. 15, § 15. These provisions were enacted in AS
14.03.300-.310.

42. Just as SB 100 envisioned, AS 14.03.300-.310 purports to allow a parent or guardian to use their child's annual public correspondence study program student allotment to purchase materials and services from private educational institution vendors.

43. After Ms. Taylor's opinion piece circulated, the use of public correspondence school allotments to pay for services offered by private educational institutions was challenged as violating the Alaska Constitution, which prompted the Alaska Department of Law to consider the issue.

44. On June 6, 2022, the Alaska Department of Law issued a press release
explaining, "[a]s the Alaska Department of Law considers the legality of using public
COMPLAINT *COMPLAINT Complaint Commissioner Heidi Teshner*, 3AN-23-*CI*

funds for private education costs, Alaska Attorney General Treg Taylor recused himself from all matters involving correspondence school allotments on May 21, 2022." This 2 press release acknowledged that "Taylor's wife is an advocate for the idea and has 3 4 recently written a column on it." Press Release (June 6, 2022), Attorney General Taylor 5 Correspondence School Allotment Advice May, Recused from in 6 https://law.alaska.gov/press/releases/2022/060622-Allotment.html. 7

45. There was a delegation of authority from Attorney General Treg Taylor to 8 Deputy Attorney General Cori Mills regarding the matter of correspondence school 9 allotments. State of Alaska, Online Public Notices, Delegation of Authority to Deputy Attorney General Cori Mills. (dated May 21. 2022) 12 https://aws.state.ak.us/OnlinePublicNotices/Notices/View.aspx?id=207008.

46. On July 25, 2022, Alaska Deputy Attorney General Cori Mills released the Department of Law's opinion on whether publicly funded correspondence schools can pay for services from private schools. See Press Release, Deputy Attorney General's Opinion Provides Guidance to School Districts on Public Correspondence School Allotments and Private School Uses. July 25, 2022, https://law.alaska.gov/press/releases/2022/072522-SchoolsOpinion.html.

47. This legal opinion acknowledged that "[t]he allotment program supports 21 22 students enrolled in public correspondence schools by permitting public money to be 23 spent for certain materials and services from a private vendor to fulfill a student's 24 individual learning plan." AGO No. 2021200228 at 1 (July 25, 2022). But erroneously 25 COMPLAINT 26 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_ CI Page 15 of 22

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

1

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

concluded "[s]uch spending does not, on its face, violate the Alaska Constitution's prohibition against spending public funds for the direct benefit of a private educational institution." Id.

4 Although nothing in the plain text of AS 14.03.300-.310 provides limits on 48. 5 spending under the correspondence program preventing constitutional violations, the 6 opinion reasoned that "the Alaska Constitution does establish boundaries on how public 7 money can be spent under the program." Id. at 2. The opinion then proceeded to provide 8 "guidance on the types of spending that are clearly constitutional, clearly unconstitutional, 9 10 and those that fall into a gray area." Id.

49. Rather than focusing on the plain language and whether public funds were being spent "for the direct benefit of any religious or other private educational institution," this opinion suggested that the constitutional touchstone is whether the public or private classes support a student's public education. Id. at 12-14.

50. The opinion stated, "there is a reasonable legal basis to conclude that allotments could be used" to pay for college classes "at public or private postsecondary institutions because both public and private colleges charge for tuition, making the public funds operate neutrally between the two forms of institutions." Id. at 12.

51. Without any factual support explaining how much private colleges, or other 21 22 private institutions, charge for courses, or how many "public" students are enrolled, the 23 opinion concluded "the expenditures are likely to be relatively insubstantial and they 24 primarily support district-supervised public correspondence instruction and thus do not 25 COMPLAINT 26 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-CI

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

implicate the core constitutional concern of using public funds to aid private education." *Id.* at 12-13.

52. Yet again relying on the touchstone of intent in making the expenditure,
this opinion also provided that "if attendance in private school classes is, for example, in
response to a private school encouraging parents to enroll in a public correspondence
school and then use public allotments to offset the cost of private tuition, there would be
a significant likelihood that use of allotments would be found unconstitutional." *Id.* at
14. Article VII, Section 1 contains no mention of intent.

10 53. However, the opinion left many of what it called "in between" or "gray 11 area" situations to the discretion of school districts, suggesting using public funds for 12 "one or two [private] classes to support a public correspondence school program is likely 13 constitutional, whereas using public school allotment money to pay for most or all of a 14 private school's tuition would not be." In this multitude of "gray area" situations that 15 16 may or may not violate the Alaska Constitution, "DEED and school districts should 17 consult with legal counsel." Id. at 19.

18 Acting Commissioner of DEED, Heidi Teshner, circulated a "Letter to 54. 19 Superintendents" on July 25, 2022, sharing the Department of Law's legal opinion. This 20 letter explained, "The Alaska Constitution supports using allotments to pay for 21 22 educational services and materials provided by private vendors including paying for 23 courses when the main purpose of purchasing the services and materials is to further the 24 student's public school correspondence education. What the constitution does not 25 COMPLAINT 26 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-Page 17 of 22 CI

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 support is paying for sectarian or religious courses or supplanting the public education with a full private school education by paying the tuition for full-time enrollment in a private school."

4 55. If AS 14.03.300-.310 remains in effect, public school correspondence
5 programs will continue to use public funds to reimburse parents or guardians for courses
6 their child has taken at private educational institutions. Some correspondence programs
have already distributed public funds, totaling thousands of dollars per student, which
9 paid for instruction at private schools. This is exactly the type of direct benefit for private
10 educational institutions prohibited by Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution.

V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

(Violation of Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution)

56. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs as set forth herein.

57. Alaska Statute 14.03.300-.310, which allows for the payment of educational materials and services provided by private institutions using public funds, is unconstitutional.

58. In full, Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution provides: "The legislature shall by general law establish and maintain a system of public schools open to all children of the State, and may provide for other public educational institutions. Schools and institutions so established shall be free from sectarian control. No money shall be

26 COMPLAINT

Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-____CI

Page 18 of 22

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

paid from public funds for the direct benefit of any religious or other private educational institution."

3 59. In Sheldon Jackson College v. State, 599 P.2d 127 (Alaska 1979), the 4 Alaska Supreme Court held that a tuition grant program for resident students attending 5 private colleges in Alaska, which were distributed to students to apply towards their 6 private college tuition, violated Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution. 7

In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered four factors "in 60. 8 determining generally the type of government action intended to be prohibited by article 9 10 VII's direct benefit clause." Id. at 130.

First, the Alaska Supreme Court reasoned that "the breadth of the class to 61. 12 which statutory benefits are directed is a critical area of judicial scrutiny." Id. Although "police and fire protection afforded a private school may provide the school with quite direct benefits . . . such benefits are provided without regard to status or affiliation." Id. "Conversely, a benefit flowing only to private institutions, or to those served by them, does not reflect the same neutrality and non-selectivity." Id.

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 17 18 19 20 21

1

2

11

13

14

15

16

22

62. The second criterion, "is the nature of the use to which the public funds are to be put. As is apparent from the convention debate, the core of the concern expressed in the direct benefit prohibition involves government aid to Education conducted outside the public schools." Id.

23 63. Third, the Court explained that "[a] trivial, though direct, benefit may not 24 rise to the level of a constitutional violation, whereas a substantial, though arguably 25 COMPLAINT 26 Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23-_ CI Page 19 of 22

indirect, benefit may." *Id.* The Court concluded that "the magnitude of benefits bestowed under the tuition grant program [were] quite substantial," with grants of "\$1,850 for each eligible student," and plans to increase the grants to be \$2,500. *Id.* at 131. This resulted in private colleges receiving hundreds of thousands of dollars. *Id.*

⁵ 64. Finally, the Court reasoned that "while a direct transfer of funds from the
state to a private school will of course render a program constitutionally suspect, merely
channeling the funds through an intermediary will not save an otherwise improper
expenditure of public monies." *Id.* at 130 (internal citations omitted). The Court was
clear "that the superficial form of a benefit will not suffice to define its substantive
character." *Id.* at 131.

65. In Sheldon Jackson College, the Alaska Supreme Court further noted that "a laudable purpose cannot escape article VII's mandate that Alaska pursue its educational objectives through public educational institutions." *Id.*

66. The Alaska Supreme Court was clear that although under the tuition grant program, public funds were "nominally paid from the public treasury directly to the student, the student [was] merely a conduit for the transmission of state funds to private colleges." *Id.* at 132.

67. Similarly, pursuant to AS 14.03.300-.310, a parent or guardian being
 reimbursed for payments made to private educational institutions makes them a "conduit
 for the transmission" of public correspondence program funds to private schools.

CI

25

24

1

2

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

68. "Simply interposing an intermediary 'does not have a cleansing effect and somehow cause the funds to lose their identity as public funds. While the ingenuity of man is apparently limitless, the court has held with unvarying regularity that one may not do by indirection what is forbidden directly." Id. (quoting Wolman v. Essex, 342 F. Supp. 399, 415 (S.D. Ohio), aff'd mem., 409 U.S. 808 (1972)).

69. In reaching its decision in Sheldon Jackson College, the Alaska Supreme Court carefully examined the minutes of the Alaska Constitutional Convention. These minutes "show that an unsuccessful motion was made to delete entirely the direct benefit 9 prohibition of article VII, section 1." 599 P.2d at 129 (citing 2 Proceedings of the Alaska Constitutional Convention 1526-28). Delegate Armstrong stated that the drafting 12 committee sought to "provide and protect for the future of public schools. Id. n.6 (quoting 13 2 Proceedings of the Alaska Constitutional Convention 1514). Delegates also expressed concerns that "the amount of tax dollars available for the support of public schools might be lessened if public funds were used to support a great many private schools." Id. (citing delegate Coghill in 2 Proceedings of the Alaska Constitutional Convention 1520).

(907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938 Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

70. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration that AS 14.03.300-.310, which allows for the reimbursement of payments to private educational institutions using public funds, violates Article VII, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution.

22 71. Even if there was some interpretation that would render AS 14.03.300-.310 23 facially constitutional, it is still unconstitutional as it is currently being applied by DEED, 24 25

ÇI

1		
1	seriously financially undercutting the core constitutional concern that public funds be	
' 2	available for public education.	
3	72. Plaintiffs are further entitled to injunctive relief preventing any transfer of	
4	funds from the public correspondence study program to reimburse payments made to	
5		
6	private educational institutions.	
7	VI. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u>	
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, pray for relief against Defendant as follows:	
9	1. An order declaring AS 14.03.300310 is unconstitutional;	
10	2. An order enjoining any current or future use of public funds to reimburse	
11	payments to private educational institutions pursuant to AS 14.03.300310;	
12 13	3. An order awarding Plaintiffs full reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as	
13	required by AS 09.60.010(c); and	
15	4. An order granting any and all additional relief to which Plaintiffs are	
16	entitled that the Court deems equitable and appropriate.	
17		
18	CASHION GILMORE & LINDEMUTH	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20	DATE: January 24, 2023	
21	DATE: January 24. 2023 Scott M. Kendall	
22	Alaska Bar No. 0405019 Lauren L. Sherman	
23 24	Alaska Bar No. 2009087	
25		
26	COMPLAINT Alexander v. Acting Commissioner Heidi Teshner, 3AN-23CI Page 22 of 22	

Cashion Gilmore & Lindemuth 510 L Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 222-7932 fax (907) 222-7938