From: mnardin@brenalaw.com To: ANC\_civil@akcourts.us

Cc: matt.singer@hklaw.com, lee.baxter@hklaw.com, cori.mills@alaska.gov, margaret.paton-Subject: 3AN-20-05901CI - Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share's Response To Plaintiffs' Motion to

Date: 5/11/2020 4:38:11 PM

810 N Street, Anchorage, A Telephone:	and, Esq. & Walker, P.C. Suite 100	STATE OF ALASIA, THIRD DISTRICT  MAY 1 1 2020  Clerk of the Trial Courts  Py Deputy
Attorneys for Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share		
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA		
THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE		
FOR ALASE ASSOCIATI ASSOCIATI GENERAL O ALASKA CI	DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL CA, INC.; ALASKA TRUCKING ON, INC.; ALASKA MINERS ON, INC.; ASSOCIATED CONTRACTORS OF ALASKA; HAMBER; ALASKA SUPPORT ALLIANCE, iffs,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
ν.		) Case No. 3AN-20-05901CI
KEVIN MEYER, in his official capacity as Lt. Governor of the State of Alaska; GAIL FENUMIAI, in her capacity as Director of the Alaska Division of Elections; the STATE OF ALASKA, DIVISION OF ELECTIONS; and VOTE YES FOR ALASKA'S FAIR SHARE,		) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Defen	dants.	) _)

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. 810 N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AN. 97501 PHONE (207)258-2000 FAX: (207)24-2001

> FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE RDC v. Meyer, No. 3AN-20-05901 CI

May 11, 2020 Page I of 8 DEFENDANT VOTE YES FOR ALASKA'S FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE
TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE CASE AS NON-ROUTINE
AND SET EXPEDITED DISCOVERY AND AUGUST 2020 TRIAL DATE,
JOINDER IN THE STATE OF ALASKA'S CROSS-MOTION TO DISMISS,
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS BY
FRIDAY, MAY 15, 2020, AND COMMENT ON RELEVANT AUTHORITY

Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share ("Fair Share"), by and through its counsel, Brena, Bell & Walker, P.C., agrees this is not a routine case but does not agree this case merits discovery or a trial. Instead, this case should be fully and quickly resolved through motion practice based on the Plaintiffs' failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

## I. NON-ROUTINE CHARACTERIZATION

Rather than challenge the Fair Share Act initiative on the merits, the Plaintiffs and their allies seek to use this Court to disenfranchise 39,000 Alaskan voters and to bog down the Fair Share Act initiative's proponents in expedited discovery and trial during the heart of the campaign season. Plaintiffs ask this Court to permit this extraordinary intrusion into the initiative process and into the constitutional rights of all citizens to political speech based upon the thinnest legal pretext possible and without stating a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Plaintiffs do not allege a single signature supporting the Fair Share Act initiative was obtained fraudulently. Plaintiffs misinterpret the law governing "per signature" compensation for signature gatherers. Plaintiffs do not advance allegations that support a violation of the law, if it is properly applied. Moreover, as the State made clear, Plaintiffs do not advance a credible legal theory that would justify this Court disenfranchising 39,000 Alaskan voters or limiting citizens' constitutional rights to engage in political speech through the initiative process.

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. 619 N STREET, SUITE 160 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 PHONE (207)258-2001 FAX: (907)258-2001

FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE RDC v. Meyer, No. 3AN-20-05901 CI

May 11, 2020 Page 2 of 8 Instead, Plaintiffs seek to impose an unstated duty on the lieutenant governor that he does not have — to obtain a remedy the statute does not provide and thereby disenfranchise 39,000 signatures verified as proper by Alaskan voters. Plaintiffs' actions are an exemplar of why Alaska should join the 30 states that have enacted statutory protections against this sort of strategic lawsuit against public participation ("SLAPP") through a coalition of industry interests using the court system to distract, drain, and otherwise harass a campaign supporting a certified ballot initiative.

Apparently, Plaintiffs believe the lieutenant governor should conduct some type of formal adjudication concerning the certifying affidavits. Such a formal adjudication would apparently involve an investigation by the lieutenant governor into each certifying affidavit, some sort of evidentiary hearing (that apparently Plaintiffs believe they should have the right to participate in), and the issuance of factual and legal rulings by the lieutenant governor on each certifying affidavit.

Not surprisingly, Plaintiffs do not offer any details into the boundaries of the lieutenant governor's new role in the initiative process or how that new role would burden the initiative process. Plaintiffs similarly do not offer any guidance into the lieutenant governor's new role in investigating misdemeanors. Nor do Plaintiffs offer any guidance as to the treatment of the perilous constitutional issues associated with disenfranchising 39,000 proper voter signatures based upon the manner of compensation for the signature gatherers. For that matter, Plaintiffs ignore that the underlying law expressly provides for a misdemeanor remedy against the wrongdoer rather than against the voter. In short, Plaintiffs offer no insight or justification into

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. 810 N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AX 99501 PHONE (907)258-2001 FAX: (907)258-2001 their attempt to use this Court in an unprecedented fashion to actively harass the Fair Share Act

initiative.

II. JOINDER AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE

The State has rightfully moved to dismiss the Plaintiffs' baseless claims as failing to

state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Fair Share joins the State's Cross-Motion to

Dismiss, dated April 30, 2020, and intends to file a separate motion to dismiss on additional

grounds by Friday, May 15, 2020. Fair Share intends, through its motion to dismiss, to

supplement the State's position and raise additional arguments based on the underlying

legislative history and constitutional rights of citizens to engage in political speech.

Accordingly, Fair Share respectfully requests this Court to stay any discovery in this

case prior to ruling upon the motions to dismiss. As the State also points out, Plaintiffs have

not bothered to sue the parties most relevant to their allegations, and those allegations implicate

an express criminal penalty the Plaintiffs have no authority to enforce.

III. NORTH WEST CRUISESHIP

Plaintiffs have offered a cursory argument in their Reply, dated May 7, 2020, and Fair

Share now addresses that single cited authority to demonstrate Plaintiffs' fundamental failure

to present a legitimate case before this Court.

Plaintiffs cite to North West Cruiseship Association v. State, for the bare proposition

"the Division of Elections has invalidated otherwise valid subscriber signatures on a petition

<sup>1</sup> 145 P.3d 573 (Alaska 2006).

WALKER, P.C.
10 N STREET, SUITE 100
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
PHONE: (907):58-2000
FAX: (901):58-2001

Brena, Bell &

FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE RDC v. Meyer, No. 3AN-20-05901 CI

May 11, 2020 Page 4 of 8 because of circulator neglect" and "the same remedy is available for circulator misconduct." North West Cruiseship also involved a coalition of industry groups suing to invalidate a certified ballot initiative to enact taxation and regulatory changes upon the industry. The plaintiffs attacked the signatures supporting the initiative on four grounds, none of which apply here. The Alaska Supreme Court rejected all of North West Cruiseship plaintiffs' arguments for invalidating more signatures and affirmed the superior court's grant of summary judgment against them. In relying on the two pages of signatures that the State and the courts agreed should be disqualified for lacking the required "paid by" information, the Plaintiffs here fail to recognize they are standing upon the narrow exception to the broad rule against "wholesale dis[en]franchisement of qualified electors" that North West Cruiseship embodies. Indeed, the case weighs heavily toward dismissal of their complaint.

The superior court's order in *North West Cruiseship* (adopted and attached by appendix in the Alaska Supreme Court's decision) contains a thorough discussion of the requirements of AS 15.45.130 and how they should be construed "only as broadly as is necessary to address the specific error" and "should avoid an interpretation that requires a broader remedy that disenfranchises voters who did nothing wrong." The specific error the superior court considered with regard to the two disqualified pages of signatures was the failure to comply with the last of the eight express substantive requirements for the affidavits under

BRENA, BELL, & WALKER, P.C. 810 N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 PHONE: (907)258-2001 FAX: (907)258-2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion to Characterize Case at 2-3 (May 7, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> North West Cruiseship, 145 P.3d at 573, 576-79 (Alaska 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id 578 (quoting Fischer v. Stout, 741 P.2d 217, 225 (Alaska 1987)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id at 587.

AS 43.56.130: "whether the circulator has received payment or agreed to receive payment for

the collection of signatures on the petition, and, if so, the name of each person or organization

that has paid or agreed to pay the circulator for collection of signatures on the petition."6 The

parties agreed the two pages in question lacked the required "paid by" information.

Plaintiffs here have not claimed any violation of the eighth requirement or any of the

other seven substantive requirements of AS 43.56.130. They do not allege any of the affidavits

in this case have any formal flaw like the two pages disqualified in North West Cruiseship.

Instead, Plaintiffs ask this Court to read a new requirement into the statute well beyond the

formal requirement of ensuring certifying affidavits have been filed.

This is the diametric opposite of the narrow construal for which North West Cruiseship

stands. Furthermore, the provision Plaintiffs choose to wield in the name of disenfranchisement

is AS 15.45.110(c), a restriction on the method of compensating signature gatherers with an

express criminal remedy under AS 15.45.110(e). As presented in Fair Share's motion to

dismiss, Plaintiffs' interpretation of this restriction as applying to methods of compensation

other than per-signature is both contrary to the legislative intent of the statute and clearly

unconstitutional under current precedent. But even if AS 15.45.110(c) had actually been

violated, invalidating tens of thousands of certified signatures is the not the remedy for that

violation.

The Alaska Supreme Court's reasoning in rejecting the first of North West Cruiseship

plaintiffs' arguments speaks to this issue. The Court held:

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. 00 N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AK 97501 PHONE (WIT258-2000 FAX: 1907)258-2001

6 AS 15.45.130(8).

FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE

Although the Division's method of auditing the signatures may have been somewhat imprecise, in that a subscriber's voting registration status could only be verified as of the date the petitions were filed, the audit was nevertheless reasonable given that there was no statutory requirement that each signature be dated at the time of the audit. Our analysis would be different had the legislature affirmatively required the signatures to be individually dated. But here there is no question that the Division fully complied with what the statutes and its own regulations required at the time. We further note that the petition booklets were prepared with several safeguards, including (1) a warning that anyone who signs the petition knowing that he or she is not a qualified voter is guilty of a misdemeanor; (2) directions to the petition circulators that each subscriber must be a registered Alaskan voter; and (3) a certification affidavit from the petition circulator attesting, under penalty of perjury, that the signatures in each petition booklet were drawn from persons "who were qualified voters on the date of the signature." The training materials provided to petition circulators also emphasized that the subscribers must be registered voters. Given these additional safeguards, we conclude that the 1,202 signatures were properly counted.<sup>7</sup>

The same safeguards the Court relied upon above are present in this case, and the State had no duty or power to review the veracity of the affidavits required under AS 15.45.130. Plaintiffs are deeply mistaken in trying to use the principles of *North West Cruiseship* to construe additional requirements and remedies in the statutes.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Regardless of how this case is characterized, Fair Share urges the Court to stay any pre-trial deadlines or discovery until the dispositive motions have been decided in order to minimize the waste of resources caused by these claims.

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. 810 N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 PHONE (997)258-2001 FAX: (997)258-2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> North West Cruiseship, 145 P.3d at 576-77. FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE RDC v. Meyer, No. 3AN-20-05901 CI

# RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of May, 2020.

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share

By //s// Robin O. Brena

Robin O. Brena, Alaska Bar No. 8410089 Jon S. Wakeland, Alaska Bar No. 0911066 810 N Street, Suite 100 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Phone: (907) 258-2000/Fax (907) 258-2001

Email:

State of Alaska

Department of Law

c/o Margaret Paton-Walsh

1031 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 200

rbrena@brenalaw.com jwakeland@brenalaw.com

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served by e-mail upon the following this 11th day of May 2020.

### Attorneys for RDC

Matthew Singer, Esq. Lee C. Baxter, Esq. Holland & Knight, LLP 420 L Street, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 Phone: (907) 263-6300

Fax: (907) 263-6345

E-mail:

matt.singer@hklaw.com

lee.baxter@hklaw.com

State of Alaska Department of Law c/o Cori Mills P.O. Box 110300

Juneau, Alaska 99811-0300

E-mail:

cori.mills@alaska.gov

Anchorage, Alaska 99501 E-mail: Margaret.paton-walsh@alaska.gov

//s// Melody Nardin Melody Nardin

BRENA, BELL & WALKER, P.C. NIO N STREET, SUITE 100 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 PHONE: (907)258-2100 FAX: (907)258-2001

> FAIR SHARE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO CHARACTERIZE RDC v. Meyer, No. 3AN-20-05901 CI

May 11, 2020 Page 8 of 8 From: mnardin@brenalaw.com To: ANC\_civil@akcourts.us

Cc: matt.singer@hklaw.com, lee.baxter@hklaw.com, cori.mills@alaska.gov, margaret.paton-Subject: 3AN-20-05901CI - Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share's Response To Plaintiffs' Motion to Date: 5/11/2020 4:38:11 PM



From: mnardin@brenalaw.com
To: ANC\_civil@akcourts.us

Cc: matt.singer@hklaw.com, lee.baxter@hklaw.com, cori.mills@alaska.gov, margaret.paton-

Subject: 3AN-20-05901CI - Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share's Response To Plaintiffs' Motion to

Date: 5/11/2020 4:38:11 PM

Attachments: image001.jpg, Characterize.Response.Fair Share.2020-05-11.Final.pdf

Melody Nardin | mnardin@brenalaw.com<mailto:mnardin@brenalaw.com> | 907-258-2000

\* ONE document: Defendant Vote Yes for Alaska's Fair Share's Response To Plaintiffs' Motion to Characterize Case as Non-Routine And Set Expedited Discovery and August 2020 Trial Date, Joinder in The State of Alaska's Cross-Motion to Dismiss, Notice of Intention to File A Motion to Dismiss By Friday, May 15, 2020, And Comment on Relevant Authority

\* Total Pages: 8

Melody Nardin
Legal Assistant
[cid:image001.jpg@01D4FC0A.CA18C340]
<http://www.brenalaw.com/\_\_;!!LViD8WrmGQU!825MkLGAXHch4iexg2R1KerbXXfIz8mniFpsPfnjMrhGHqTjIbsTcW8c3M5EZ3v0RtL\$ >
810 N Street, Suite 100
Anchorage, AK 99501
Tel: (907) 258-2000<tel:(907)%20258-2000>
Fax: (907) 258-2001<tel:(907)%20258-2001>

\*\* The information contained in this email is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and others authorized to receive it. It may contain confidential or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by responding to this email and then delete it from your system. Thank you.